

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/846,588

Applicant(s)

GOLDMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.

Art Unit

1633

All Participants:

(1) Michael L. Goldman.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005

Time: 4:00-5:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

After-final amended claims

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney Goldman to propose amendments as they appear in the examiner's amendment to reflect the allowable subject matter identified in the personal interview held on 8/2/05. Attorney Goldman accepted the proposed amendments on 11/01/05.



DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER